

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/661,744 127A 3352 8145 09/12/2003 Yukio Izumi **EXAMINER** 12/01/2004 3713 KODA & ANDROLIA WATTS, DOUGLAS D 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1430** LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-3024 3724

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$ oldsymbol{A} $
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/661,744	IZUMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Douglas D. Watts	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a name reply within the statutory minimum of thir id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 August 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		•
· · · ·	Examiner. Note the attache	4 Office / total of form 1 10 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	∧ □1	Cummary /PTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/04.4/27/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/661,744

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg.

Foil cutters with floating heads are old in the art as shown by applicant's citations such as EP ,581. This is a newer design than the heads of Berg which was patented in 1951.

Obviously one of ordinary skill in the art would not only be aware of such design features but use them with the device of Berg.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The remarks have been considered and are pertinent. Accordingly the rejection based on obvious type double patenting has been dropped. As to the art rejection, there many instances where foil shavers with floating reciprocating heads have been used with variable height blades. It is felt that using same with Berg would merely be a modernization of the device and within the skill of an artisan.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,744

Art Unit: 3724

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas D. Watts whose telephone number is (703) 308-0153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Shoap can be reached on (703) 308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DDW 11/26/04

DOUGLAS D. WATTS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Day's Dhan